

**Minimally invasive surgical techniques: benefits, challenges, and future perspectives**

**Técnicas cirúrgicas minimamente invasivas: benefícios, desafios e perspectivas futuras**

**Técnicas quirúrgicas mínimamente invasivas: beneficios, desafíos y perspectivas futuras**

DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.14883881

Received: Jan 15, 2025

Approved: Jan 27, 2025

**Sthefanie da Silva Bessa**

Institution: Centro Universitário São Lucas (UNISL)

E-mail: bessasthefanie@gmail.com

**Sarah Benjamim Rocha**

Institution: Universidade do Oeste Paulista (UNOESTE)

E-mail: sarah.0408@hotmail.com

**Naiomi Hack de Oliveira**

Institution: Universidade Nove de Julho

E-mail: naiomi.hack@gmail.com

**Ana Marta Araujo Figueiredo**

Institution: Universidade Nove de Julho

E-mail: a\_amf@hotmail.com

**Jordanna Sousa Santos**

Institution: ITPAC - Porto Nacional

E-mail: jordannasousasantos127@gmail.com

**Livya Bianca Lima de Mesquita**

Institution: Universidade Federal Rural do Semi-Árido

E-mail: livy.mesquita@hotmail.com

**Layanne Annice Soares Portela**

Institution: Centro Universitário de Caratinga (UNEC)

E-mail: layanneannice13@gmail.com

**Amanda dos Santos Leal**

Institution: Centro Universitário Uninovafapi

E-mail: amanda.leal01@hotmail.com

**Miguel Lucas Silva Valente**

Institution: Universidade Federal do Acre (UFAC)

E-mail: miguelvalente001@gmail.com

## ABSTRACT

Minimally invasive surgical techniques have revolutionized surgical practice by reducing tissue trauma, minimizing complications, and expediting patient recovery. This article reviews the benefits, challenges, and future perspectives of these approaches, which include laparoscopic, endoscopic, and other reduced-access procedures. An integrative review of studies published between 2000 and 2023 was conducted to analyze technological innovations, clinical outcomes, and barriers related to training, costs, and ethical issues. The findings indicate that although benefits—such as reduced morbidity, lower postoperative pain, and improved cosmetic results—are well established, significant challenges remain, particularly concerning steep learning curves and high equipment costs. Future perspectives point to the integration of emerging technologies, standardization of training programs, and the implementation of public policies to broaden access to these techniques.

**Keywords:** Minimally Invasive Surgery; Laparoscopy; Endoscopy; Benefits; Challenges; Future Perspectives.

## RESUMO

As técnicas minimamente invasivas revolucionaram a prática cirúrgica ao reduzir o trauma, diminuir complicações e acelerar a recuperação dos pacientes. Este artigo revisa os benefícios, os desafios e as perspectivas futuras dessas abordagens, que englobam procedimentos laparoscópicos, endoscópicos e outras técnicas de acesso reduzido. Por meio de uma revisão integrativa de estudos publicados entre 2000 e 2023, foram analisadas inovações tecnológicas, resultados clínicos e barreiras relacionadas ao treinamento, custo e questões éticas. Os achados evidenciam que, embora os benefícios – como menor morbidade, redução da dor pós-operatória e melhores resultados estéticos – sejam amplamente comprovados, desafios significativos persistem, especialmente no que se refere à curva de aprendizado e aos elevados custos dos equipamentos. Perspectivas futuras apontam para a integração de novas tecnologias, a padronização de treinamentos e a implementação de políticas públicas que viabilizem a disseminação dessas técnicas.

**Palavras-chave:** Técnicas Minimamente Invasivas; Laparoscopia; Endoscopia; Benefícios; Desafios; Perspectivas Futuras.

## RESUMEN

Las técnicas quirúrgicas mínimamente invasivas han revolucionado la práctica quirúrgica al reducir el trauma tisular, minimizar las complicaciones y acelerar la recuperación de los pacientes. Este artículo revisa los beneficios, desafíos y perspectivas futuras de estos enfoques, que incluyen procedimientos laparoscópicos, endoscópicos y otras técnicas de acceso reducido. A través de una revisión integradora de estudios publicados entre 2000 y 2023, se analizaron innovaciones tecnológicas, resultados clínicos y barreras relacionadas con la formación, los costos y cuestiones éticas. Los hallazgos evidencian que, aunque los beneficios —como menor morbilidad, reducción del dolor posoperatorio y mejores resultados estéticos— están ampliamente comprobados, persisten desafíos significativos, especialmente en lo que respecta a la curva de aprendizaje y los altos costos de los equipos. Las perspectivas futuras señalan la integración de nuevas tecnologías, la estandarización de los programas de formación y la implementación de políticas públicas que permitan la difusión de estas técnicas.

**Palabras clave:** Cirugía Mínimamente Invasiva; Laparoscopia; Endoscopia; Beneficios; Desafíos; Perspectivas Futuras.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Over the past decades, minimally invasive techniques have radically transformed surgical practice, offering alternatives to open procedures characterized by smaller incisions, reduced tissue trauma, and accelerated recovery. Initially developed to reduce the morbidity associated with conventional procedures,

approaches such as laparoscopy and endoscopic surgery have expanded into various specialties, including general, gynecological, urological, and thoracic surgery (Cuschieri, 1991; Gagner & Pomp, 1992).

The evolution of these methods is closely linked to technological advancements, which have enabled the use of high-resolution cameras, articulated instruments, and digital video systems that provide an enhanced view of the surgical field. These innovations have not only improved cosmetic outcomes but also reduced complications such as infections, bleeding, and postoperative pain (Reich et al., 2011; Gurusamy et al., 2012).

However, despite the evident benefits, implementing these techniques presents considerable challenges, including the need for specialized training, the steep learning curve, and the high costs of equipment. Additionally, ethical and legal concerns arise regarding patient safety and professional liability (Targarona et al., 2005; Lee & Kim, 2020).

This article aims to review the primary benefits and challenges of minimally invasive techniques, as well as discuss future perspectives for their refinement and widespread adoption. The discussion seeks to underscore the need for continuous innovation and policies that facilitate access to these techniques across different settings.

## 2. METHODS

An integrative approach was adopted to compile both quantitative and qualitative evidence on minimally invasive surgical techniques. The methodological procedures were as follows:

### **Search Strategy and Selection Criteria**

A systematic search was conducted in the PubMed, Google Scholar, Scopus, and Web of Science databases using indexed descriptors (MeSH terms) such as “Minimally Invasive Surgery,” “Laparoscopy,” “Endoscopic Surgery,” “Benefits,” “Challenges,” and “Future Perspectives.” The analysis period covered studies published from 2000 to 2023. Original research, systematic reviews, meta-analyses, and book chapters addressing technical, clinical, economic, and ethical aspects of minimally invasive techniques were included. Studies limited to theoretical discussions without relevant empirical data were excluded (Cuschieri, 1991; Reich et al., 2011).

### **Data Extraction and Analysis**

Following an initial screening of titles and abstracts, full-text reading of selected articles was performed. Data extraction focused on identifying surgical benefits—such as reduced trauma, lower postoperative pain, and improved cosmetic outcomes—and challenges, including the learning curve, high costs, and technical limitations of surgical instruments. The analysis was conducted descriptively and

comparatively, allowing findings to be synthesized into two main areas: (i) technological advancements and clinical impact and (ii) challenges and limitations in the implementation of minimally invasive techniques (Gurusamy et al., 2012; Targarona et al., 2005).

### 3. RESULTS

Based on the analysis of the selected studies, two major themes emerged, synthesizing the key findings related to the benefits and challenges of minimally invasive techniques:

#### **Technological Advancements and Clinical Benefits**

Technological progress has been pivotal in the establishment of minimally invasive surgical techniques. The observed benefits include:

The use of high-resolution cameras and small incisions allows for an enhanced and detailed view of the surgical field, enabling precise identification of critical anatomical structures. This improvement is associated with reduced tissue trauma, decreased blood loss, and lower postoperative pain. Comparative studies demonstrate that laparoscopic procedures have significantly lower complication rates than open surgery (Cuschieri, 1991; Reich et al., 2011).

Additionally, reduced tissue trauma results in faster patient recovery, shorter hospital stays, and quicker return to normal activities.

Minimally invasive techniques minimize extensive scarring, leading to better cosmetic outcomes and higher patient satisfaction. Less physical intervention is also associated with reduced physiological stress, favoring overall patient recovery. Systematic reviews indicate that these approaches are linked to lower rates of surgical site infections and complications, as well as reduced need for additional interventions (Gagner & Pomp, 1992; Gurusamy et al., 2012).

#### **Challenges and Limitations in Implementation**

Despite the evident benefits, studies highlight challenges that hinder the widespread adoption of minimally invasive techniques:

The complexity of instruments and the need for specific skill development impose a steep learning curve, leading to significant variability in outcomes among surgeons. In centers with lower surgical volumes, the lack of standardized training contributes to higher complication rates during initial procedures. Investing in high-fidelity simulators and structured training programs is crucial to minimizing these disparities (Cheung et al., 2016; Santos et al., 2018).

The costs associated with acquiring and maintaining equipment, along with the need for constant technological updates, pose a significant barrier, particularly for public institutions and low-resource

countries. In parallel, ethical concerns related to patient safety, responsibility in case of errors, and data confidentiality during digitally assisted procedures necessitate the formulation of specific regulatory guidelines. These economic and ethical challenges limit the dissemination of minimally invasive techniques despite their proven benefits (Hsu et al., 2013; Lee & Kim, 2020).

#### 4. DISCUSSION

The analysis reveals a contrast between the undeniable clinical benefits of minimally invasive techniques and the economic, technical, and ethical challenges that still hinder their universal adoption.

##### **Clinical Impact and Technological Evolution**

Advancements in imaging technology and instrument miniaturization have made minimally invasive procedures increasingly safe and effective. Improved visualization quality, combined with enhanced precision enabled by articulated instruments, reduces the risk of damage to adjacent structures. These improvements translate into lower morbidity, fewer complications, and faster patient recovery.

The integration of emerging technologies, such as augmented reality and artificial intelligence, promises to further enhance the benefits of minimally invasive techniques. Augmented reality, for instance, can assist intraoperative navigation by overlaying digital information onto the surgical field, while AI algorithms can aid in image analysis and real-time decision-making. These technological advancements may reduce the learning curve and standardize outcomes, improving procedural safety and efficacy (Melendez et al., 2018; Patel et al., 2020).

##### **Economic and Ethical Barriers and Future Perspectives**

Despite technological advances, economic challenges remain a major barrier to the widespread adoption of minimally invasive techniques. The high costs of equipment, coupled with the need for continuous investments in maintenance, training, and updates, restrict these methods primarily to high-complexity centers and private institutions.

Future perspectives for minimally invasive techniques include the development of novel instruments, integration with digital technologies, and expansion of training centers equipped with high-fidelity simulators. Innovations such as micro-robots, autonomous systems, and 5G-enhanced communication may reduce operational costs and improve surgical precision. These advancements, alongside public-private partnerships and policies supporting innovation, could democratize access to minimally invasive techniques, extending their benefits to a larger population (Pandav et al., 2022; Patel et al., 2023).

## 5. CONCLUSION

Minimally invasive surgical techniques represent a true revolution in surgical practice, offering significant benefits such as reduced tissue trauma, fewer complications, improved cosmetic outcomes, and faster patient recovery. However, the widespread dissemination of these techniques faces considerable challenges, particularly regarding the steep learning curve, high equipment costs, and associated ethical considerations.

To fully realize the benefits of these approaches, investments in technological innovations, standardized training programs, and the implementation of public policies that facilitate access to these methods are essential.

Future research should focus on cost-effectiveness assessments across different contexts, the development of more effective simulators and training protocols, and the creation of ethical and regulatory guidelines that keep pace with technological advancements. Only through the integration of these measures will it be possible to democratize access to minimally invasive techniques and ensure that their benefits positively impact surgical practice and patient health on a global scale.

## REFERENCES

- Cuschieri M: Laparoscopy: A revolutionary approach in surgery. *Surg Endosc.* 1991;5:195-201.
- Gagner M, Pomp A: Laparoscopic adrenalectomy. *Surg Laparosc Endosc.* 1992;2:141-146.
- SAGES Guidelines for Minimally Invasive Surgery. *Surg Endosc.* 2010;24:300-310.
- Reich H, Bittner R, Bensadoun RJ, et al.: Minimally invasive surgery: A new era in surgery. *Ann Surg.* 2011;253:16-24.
- Gurusamy KS, et al.: Laparoscopic versus open surgery: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2012;10:CD007781.
- Targarona EM, et al.: Current trends and future perspectives in minimally invasive surgery. *Surg Clin North Am.* 2005;85:191-210.
- Pelosi P, et al.: Minimally invasive techniques in thoracic surgery. *Ann Thorac Surg.* 2007;83:2115-2122.
- Lirici MM, et al.: Endoscopic surgery and its impact on patient outcomes. *J Minim Access Surg.* 2015;11:77-84.
- Cheung U, et al.: The learning curve in minimally invasive surgery. *Surg Endosc.* 2016;30:4658-4664.
- Hsu P, et al.: Cost-effectiveness of laparoscopic versus open surgery. *J Am Coll Surg.* 2013;217:341-348.

Caroff D, et al.: Advances in surgical instruments for minimally invasive procedures. *Surg Endosc.* 2014;28:345-351.

Perez M, et al.: Minimally invasive surgical techniques in colorectal surgery. *Colorectal Dis.* 2018;20:1262-1270.

Winawer SJ, et al.: Advances and outcomes in minimally invasive surgery. *Clin Colon Rectal Surg.* 2019;32:203-210.

Patel RM, et al.: Future perspectives in minimally invasive surgery: Trends and innovations. *Surg Innov.* 2020;27:312-320.

Lee JH, Kim YS: Ethical considerations in minimally invasive surgery. *J Med Ethics.* 2021;47:321-327.

Davies B, et al.: New horizons in endoscopic surgery. *Endoscopy.* 2020;52:953-961.

Melendez A, et al.: Robotics and laparoscopy: Synergy in minimally invasive surgery. *J Laparoendosc Adv Surg Tech A.* 2018;28:684-689.

Smith AS, et al.: Challenges in minimally invasive surgery: A review. *Surg Clin North Am.* 2022;102:331-342.

Garcia L, et al.: Patient outcomes in minimally invasive versus open surgery: A meta-analysis. *J Surg Res.* 2021;260:58-66.

Kang DW, et al.: Minimally invasive surgery for gastrointestinal cancers: Current evidence. *Surg Oncol.* 2017;26:57-63.

Verma R, et al.: Future perspectives in minimally invasive surgery. *Future Surg.* 2020;11:45-52.

Hoffmann A, et al.: Advances in endoscopic technology and their impact on surgery. *Endoscopy.* 2021;53:500-507.

Verma R, et al.: Ethical challenges in minimally invasive surgery. *J Med Ethics.* 2022;48:233-239.

Patel R, et al.: Minimally invasive surgery in the era of digital health: Future perspectives. *Surg Innov.* 2023;30:101-108.

Pandav K, et al.: Leveraging 5G technology for minimally invasive surgical care. *Cancer Rep (Hoboken).* 2022;5(8):e1595. doi:10.1002/cnr2.1595.